



Smt Varinder Kaur,  
# 490, Urban Estate, Phase-1,  
Jalandhar

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3735 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Smt.VarinderKaur as the Appellant**  
**Ms.Saroj, MLT O/o Chemical Examiner, Kharar and Sh.Rashminder**  
**Singh, ASI O/o Commissioner, Police Amritsar for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through the RTI application dated 24.08.2020 has sought information regarding a complete post-mortem report dated 04.08.2017 along with a report on the internal body parts sent in Kharar/Kurali and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Police Commissioner, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 06.05.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The Commission received a copy of the letter of the PIO dated 19.11.2020 through email vide which the PIO had sent a reply to the appellant that the deceased's organs in a packed box No.160/2017 dated 11.08.2017 were sent to Chemical Examiners Kharar through Sh. Hardeep Singh No.1053-Amritsar @, but a report from them has not yet been received and hence the information cannot be provided.

The PIO-Chemical Examiners, Kharar was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application, (a copy of which was enclosed with the order), and provide the information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

On the date of the last hearing on **03.08.2021**, the appellant informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent from the office of Chemical Examiner Kharar was absent. The respondent present from the office of SSP Amritsar informed that the report from Chemical Examiner has not been received yet and maintained the earlier stand.

The PIO O/o Commissioner, Police, Amritsar was directed to procure the information from the office of Chemical Examiner and sent it to the appellant within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

On the date of hearing on **10.11.2021**, the respondent present from the office of SSP, Amritsar(Rural) to whom the RTI application was transferred by the office of Police Commissioner under section 6(3) vide letter No.3732 dated 23.10.2020 informed that the report from Chemical Examiner has not been received yet, and maintained the earlier stand.

**Appeal Case No. 3735 of 2020**

Dr.Bhavish Parkash present from the office of Chemical Examiner, Kharar informed that they received the samples on 11.08.2017 and the original report was provided to the police department on 24.04.2019, which was duly acknowledged by Sh.PrabhjotSingh, Constable of Police Station Majitha. Dr.Bhavish Parkash further informed that an attested copy of the report had again been sent to the office of SSP Police Station Majitha Amritsar on 23.08.2021. The respondent also submitted a copy of the dispatch register having an acknowledgement of Prabhjot Singh, and a copy of the letter dated 23.08.2021 as proof of having sent the report to the police department.

As per Dr.Bhavish, the report had already been sent to the police twice whereas the respondent from the office of SSP, Amritsar was continuously claiming that they have not received the report.

From the above facts, there was a prima-facie evidence that the police department was concealing the information from the onset of the case i.e. 06.05.2021 and an attempt was being made to mislead the court. The case was marked to the IG Border Range, Amritsar-cum-First Appellate Authority to enquire into the matter and file a suitable reply on the matter. A copy of the report duly attested by the department of the chemical examiner, Kharar was sent to the IG Border Range, Amritsar as well as to the appellant along with the order.

On the date of last hearing on **21.03.2022**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information. The respondent present from the office of SSP Amritsar reiterated their earlier plea that they have not received the report from Chemical Engineer.

At the hearing on 11.10.2021, the case was marked to IG Border Range, Amritsar with the direction to enquire into the matter and file a suitable reply. But no reply from the IG-Border Range was received.

Since there was a prima-facie evidence that the police department has been concealing the information from the onset of the case i.e. 06.05.2021 and an attempt was being made to mislead the court, the case was again marked to the IGP-Border Range Amritsar with the direction to enquire into the matter on the two different statements- one of the SSP Amritsar (that no report has been received from the Chemical Engineer) and the other by Dr.Bhavish Parkash (that they have already sent the report twice, once duly acknowledged by Sh.Parbhjot Singh constable of Police Station Majitha) and send a complete enquiry report to the Commission before the next date of hearing.

**Hearing dated 05.07.2022:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at Amritsar/Jalandhar. As per appellant, the information provided by the respondent is not legible.

The respondent present from the office of SSP Amritsar pleaded that the original report has misplaced and Sh.Baljinder Singh, SI No.24/BRT has been found responsible and that a departmental enquiry is being conducted against Sh.BaljinderSingh,SI as per office order dated 04.05.2022. Further the duplicate report has been collected from the office of Chemical Examiner and has been provided to the appellant.

The respondent is directed to send a legible copy of the report. which also is now with the commission after it was submitted to it by the Chemical Examiner ,Kharar. A copy of the report is also being sent with the order.

Since the information has been provided, no further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**

**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**CC to: 1. PIO-Chemical Examiner,  
Chemical Laboratory,  
Civil Hospital Complex, Kharar(Mohali)  
2. IGP-Border Range, Amritsar**

**Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner**



Advocate Tejinder Singh, (9041004313)  
Chamber No. 602, Civil Court Tehsil Complex,  
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Council,  
Kaputhala, District Kapurthala.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Regional Deputy Director,  
Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

...Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3599 OF 2021**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 20.09.2020 has sought information on 11 points regarding details of hospitals and commercial complexes under the jurisdiction of NC Sultanpur Lodhi – action taken against the erring persons for using plastic bags despite a ban from April 2016 – details of employees working in building branch – details of fee charges from the Rehri for registration – action taken if any against rehri owners for through waste on roads – details of income from the rental building as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Council, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 15.05.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Heminder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 02.11.2021. The respondent present informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant through email. The respondent was directed to send a hard copy of the reply/ information to the appellant.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO within 10 days and the PIO was directed to sort out the same.

The case has come up for hearing today **before this Bench** through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The appellant vide email has informed that the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 01.11.2021 is incomplete and deficiencies have already been sent to the PIO through email on 02.12.2021 but the PIO has not removed the same.

The PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant on 02.12.2021 through email and provide complete information with a copy to the Commission. If no further information is available in the record, file an affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the competent authority stating that the information that has been provided, is true, and complete and no other information is available in the record relating to this RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

Advocate Tejinder Singh, (9041004313)  
Chamber No. 602, Civil Court Tehsil Complex,  
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Council,  
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Municipal Council,  
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

...Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3534 OF 2021**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Kulwinder Singh, Suptd.(Tehibazari) for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.04.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding a copy of CD/Pen drive of the data uploaded online relating to certificate of vending as per section 4 of Local Govt. Notification of the year 2016 – licenses issued to the vendors of different categories under section 7 of Street Vending Act – details of fees collected from the vendors under section 10 – category wise place fixed for seasonal market/light market/heritage market/festival market as per section 12 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Council, Phagwara, District Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 31.05.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today before this Bench through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24.05.2021 and again on 04.07.2022 and that no further information is available in the record.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 24.05.2021 (received on 29.05.2021) is incomplete and deficiencies have already been sent to the PIO through email on 13.06.2021 but the PIO has not removed the same.

The PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant on 13.06.2021 through email and provide complete information with a copy to the Commission. If no further information is available in the record, file an affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the competent authority stating that the information that has been provided, is true, and complete and no other information is available in the record relating to this RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Avtar Singh S/o Late Sh. Daljit Singh, (9417246307)  
R/o Village & Post Office Chuharwali,  
Tehsil Adampur, District Jalandhar-144102

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Corporation,  
G.T. Road, Indra Nagar, Model Town,  
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

...Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3582 OF 2021**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 15.02.2021 has sought information on 11 points regarding the new building being constructed in front of J.B.Dairy in Phagwara city to Plahi Road – copy of the map approved – documents attached with the application – a copy of the NOC issued – the name of the competent authority who passed the map – the name of the officer under whose authority water & sewerage connection was provided – details of fees received for approval as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Corporation, Phagwara, District Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Heminder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 02.11.2021. The respondent present informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear on the next date of hearing to pursue his case.

The case was adjourned.

The case has come up for hearing today before this Bench through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. Both the parties are absent.

Since information has been provided and the appellant is continuously absent, nor represented there is no further interference required from the commission.

**Case disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

Advocate Tejinder Singh, (9041004313)  
Chamber No. 602, Civil Court Tehsil Complex,  
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Council,  
Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Regional Deputy Director,  
Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

...Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3596 OF 2021**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 20.09.2020 has sought information on 11 points regarding details of hospitals and commercial complexes under the jurisdiction of NC Sultanpur Lodhi – action taken against the erring persons for using plastic bags despite a ban from April 2016 – details of employees working in the building branch – details of fee charges from the Rehri for registration – action taken if any against the rehri owners for through waste on roads – details of income from rental building.

The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 05.11.2020 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 15.05.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Heminder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 02.11.2021. The respondent present informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to sort out the same.

The case has come up for hearing today **before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The PIO vide letter received in the Commission on 17.01.2022 has informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.11.2020 and 21.05.2021.

The appellant vide email has informed that the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 21.05.2021 is incomplete and deficiencies have already been sent to the PIO through email on 13.06.2021 but the PIO has not removed the same.

The PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant on 13.06.2021 through email and provide complete information with a copy to the Commission. If no further information is available in the record, file an affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the competent authority stating that the information that has been provided, is true, and complete and no other information is available in the record relating to this RTI application.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Pradeep Kumar, (9914452667)  
S/o Sh. Madan Lal,  
R/o # D-508, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o The Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

...Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1099 OF 2021**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Dhiraj Kumar, Bill Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 09.10.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on applications/complaints dated 15.07.2020, 10.08.2020 & 15.09.2020 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 13.11.2020 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.11.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.Heminder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 03.06.2021, 24.08.2021 & 10.11.2021. During the hearing on 24.08.2021, the respondent informed that the information has been sent to the appellant on his whatsapp. the appellant claimed that he has received the information on WhatsApp but the information is incomplete and incorrect. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to sort out the same.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench** through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

Sh.Dhiraj Kumar is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO who informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.02.2021 and again on 17.01.2022 but the appellant has not yet communicated any discrepancies.

The appellant is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor is represented as well has not communicated any discrepancies. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the provided information.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 05.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**